

Boulder, CO 80301

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 392150 7273 10/013,752 01/09/2002 Victor F. Petrenko EXAMINER 08/04/2004 7590 PASCHALL, MARK H Thomas Swenson Lathrop & Gage L.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Suite 300 3742 4845 Pearl East Circle

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/043,752	PETRENKO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark H Paschall	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6

Application/Control Number: 10/043,752

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The last line of page 13 is incomplete and should have been carried through to page 14, but is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10,12,14,18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the instant Background of the Invention on page 2 or the Gemini article pp 1-3, December 1996. both the instant background and the Gemini article set froth power lines which operate at high voltage (typically 200KEV at frequency of 60 hz), in air. It is common knowledge that ice can form and corona and plasma discharges can occur, with moisture being a catalyst. Power lines covered with ice are seasonally occurring and a small layer of air between the ice and the current carrying conductors would anticipate Applicant's claimed structure. Likewise the Gemini article anticipates the claimed invention merely by depicting high-tension wires, which conventionally ice over seasonally. As per claim 2, ice would be a conductive layer. Air is the gas between

Application/Control Number: 10/043,752

Art Unit: 3742

the ice and the current carrying conductors. As per claims 8,9 the called for parameters are standard parameters for power lines in the U.S. As per claim 10 airs is surrounding conventional power lines. Note that dependent on the thickness of the air layer beneath the ice, corona or plasma discharge would occur.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11,13,15,33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed background of the instant invention on page 2 or the Gemini article pp 1-3. Both citations above teach the claimed invention as naturally occurring during icing conditions but do not specifically state use of a switch as per claim 15, nor state thickness of the gas layer of .5-10mm. However, if power was off and then turned on, a switch to effect the same would obviously be used and the called for layer is obviously among the widely varying naturally occurring layer thick nesses between formed ice and the conductor.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed background of the invention or the Gemini article set forth supra, in view of UK 087'. In view of UK 087' teaching that non conductive material can cover joints between the insulator and conductor in power lines to prevent discharge and hence prevent breakdown of the insulation on the conductor, it would have been obvious to

Page 4

modify the disclosed background system or the Gemini article system with a finite nonconductive shell over power line portions, to enhance the longevity of the insulative protection of the line conductors.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach the layer as comprising gas filled balls nor a flexible band containing the gas filled layer.

In view of further review of the claimed subject matter and the newly cited prior art, prosecution has been reopened and the claims are accordingly treated as set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,752

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

mp